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Les caractéristiques des noirs d’Afrique sont abondamment décrites dans la littérature espagnole de la Renaissance. Ces descriptions littéraires révèlent beaucoup au sujet de l’image que l’on en avait dans les représentations collectives. La création de protagonistes et de personnages secondaires africains offre une cristallisation des observations des réalités sociales et de l’élaboration artistique de préjugés et de stéréotypes sociaux. Cet article analyse deux textes présentant des mariages de noirs d’Afrique : le premier texte est une courte pièce du XVIIe siècle de Francisco de Avellaneda, et le deuxième est une ballade populaire, datant du XVIIIe siècle, et portant sur la célébration d’un mariage noir en Andalousie. Ces deux sources décrivent le mariage en se concentrant spécialement sur la dégradation des caractéristiques féminines et de la « beauté noire ».

People of black African descent, freed or enslaved, men or women, born in Spain or brought from Guinea, were thoroughly represented in Spanish Renaissance and Golden Age literature; they had been part of the Iberian population for centuries, and early modern authors could not, or did not want to, avoid the presence of black men and women in their writings. The number of plays, poems, and narratives in which sub-Saharan come into view as secondary characters, but also as protagonists, is quite surprising. Equally astonishing is the paucity of studies that have been dedicated to them by Spanish scholars and the lack of awareness of present-day Spaniards about the presence of black Africans in Castilian literature and Spanish history, particularly as Spain probably had the largest black population in Renaissance Europe. For instance, most contemporary Spaniards do not realize that the stepfather of Lázaro, the orphan rogue child who narrates his autobiography in the classic picaresque novel Vida del Lazarillo de Tormes y de sus fortunas y adversidades (Life of the Lazarillo de Tormes, his misfortunes and adversities, 1554), was a black man, even though the text is compulsory reading in secondary schools.

Paradoxically, most essays regarding black Africans in Spanish literature have been published in English. Two black scholars published the first articles on the subject in the 1930s—Carter G. Woodson and Velaurez B. Spratlin—but they...
did not become points of departure for future works. In the 1940s, an article on
the specific theme of linguistic trades and phonetics of black characters in theatre
was published by Edmund la Chasca. Later, in the 1960s, two other papers were
published, the first regarding the subject of black slaves in short comedies, and the
second centring on black characters in Golden Age Spanish literature. We had to
wait until the 1970s for the appearance of the compilation edited by Miriam DeCosta
(1977) concerning not only “Spanish literature” but “Hispanic literature” as well,
therefore including Latin American literary sources. Most of the authors gathered in
the aforementioned volume were Afro-American scholars. Since then, in particular
during the last two decades, there has been a sudden increase of publications on
the issue, predominantly written by whites: British researchers, Spaniards, and
Hispanophones teaching in North American universities. Undoubtedly, the most
important volume dedicated to blacks in European history is the recent compilation
edited by two British scholars, Tom Earle and Kate Lowe, entitled Black Africans
in Renaissance Europe.

Concerning the representation of black Africans in early modern Spanish literary
sources, we are confronted with several contradictory images that could be grosso
modo condensed into three brief snapshots. The first shows the fairly well integrated
sub-Saharan freedmen who experienced similar living conditions to impoverished
white Castilians. These could be represented by Lazarillo’s black stepfather, or Luis,
the stableman depicted in Cervantes’ short novel El celoso extremeño (The Jealous
Estremaduran). These role models have not been sufficiently identified or studied
from historical or literary perspectives. The second depicts outstanding sub-Saharan
protagonists, based on historical characters who attained their liberty due to their
talents, and who were portrayed in exceptional leading roles in black comedies such
as El prodigio de Etiopia (The Prodigy of Ethiopia, 1645) and the Comedia famosa
del Santo Negro Rosambuco de la ciudad de Palermo (Famous Comedy of the Black
Saint Rosambuco from the City of Palermo, 1612) by Lope de Vega; Juan Latino
(John the Latin, 1645) by Diego Jiménez de Enciso, or El valiente negro en Flandes
(The Brave Black Man in Flanders) by Andrés de Claramonte. These have received
much attention from scholars, and their plots are summarized in several articles,
but interpretations are often repeated and literary papers frequently lack the use
of historical sources to contextualize slavery, using a contemporary point of view
to explain these protagonists’ reactions in seventeenth-century society. The third
image is of ordinary black men and women, enslaved or free, depicted with incredible
cruelty in popular songs and poems and used as a literary device to allow Europeans
to affirm their dominance and “superiority.” These kinds of sources are understudied
since they are more difficult to locate, but they provide extremely interesting information on the construction of stereotypes in the dominant xenophobic ideology. Regarding the stereotyping of black Africans in Renaissance Europe, Kate Lowe has recently published a superb article on this topic.19

Our article focuses on a series of romances (poems) that evoke this third type of social representation of black African individuals, most of them anonymous but some with a recognized author. To serve our purposes, we have selected two of them, which have not yet been analyzed in the bibliography on the subject. Thus, what follows here underscores the presentation of images of sub-Saharan characters portrayed in stereotypical and remarkably negative terms. The two sources examine weddings that specifically focus on the degradation of women characters and their “black beauty.” In addition, we have attempted to analyze the gendered, racialized aspects of the texts.

The Spanish folk romancero (compilation of ballads) experienced great vitality and inspired Golden Age comedies and dramas, including some romances, which have been transmitted orally even to the present day. Numerous poems incorporated parodic contents, as their aim was to satirize customs and social practices in order to amuse contemporaries with facetious descriptions of characters and situations that were perceived as humorous at that time. Black Africans, settled or born in Spain, could not control the socially constructed images and stereotypes that creative writing poured into the popular romances because they were members of marginalized social groups with no economic resources, but neither could rustic Basques, shepherds, or fools, who were also victims of lyric discrimination. An ordinary subject for well-liked romances was courtship, invoking all kinds of love affairs, including ethnic interactions. Therefore, black Africans were also central parts of romances. Indeed, one of the first black poems, signed by Rodrigo de Reynosa (1520), dealt with the subject of two enamoured Africans, Jorge and Comba.

In this study, we will look at the subject of black weddings in popular poems since it inspired notorious and anonymous authors. Two popular writings regarding black marriage will be analyzed: a seventeenth-century short play titled Bayle entremesado de negros (Theatrical Dance of Blacks) written by Francisco de Avellaneda and printed in Madrid (1663), and the Nueva relación y curioso romance, en que se refiere la celebridad, galanteo y acaso de una boda de Negros (New Narration and Curious Romance with Reference to the Unexpected Event of a Black Wedding). This is a romance about the celebration of a black wedding in the city of Puerto de Santa María, in the province of Cádiz, and though the author and exact date are unknown, we believe it to have been written in the eighteenth century.
The copies we have studied for both texts are broadsheets preserved at the National Library in Madrid, without any additional information. The Nueva relación is part of popular literature, also called literatura de cordeľ (cord literature), referring to the fact that booksellers displayed these chapbooks on their stands tied with a cord. Reprints and copies were probably sold in the most important cities all over Spain.

We should mention that the celebrated poet Francisco de Quevedo y Villegas also composed a romance on a black wedding. It is known that Quevedo followed the style of anonymous poems that circulated openly, and attacked village people in his satires, including slaves. In his Boda de negros (Wedding of Blacks), Quevedo brings together the stereotypes that existed in contemporary literature to represent Africans, opposing black to white and bad to good, a dichotomy whose aim was to glorify Europeans. He described the wedding as “sinister,” saying that “it seemed to have been arranged in hell,” recurring to the frequent parallelism between black and evil. Quevedo also persistently employed abusive animal metaphors to describe black characters, comparing them to ravens, rooks, and dogs.

A major difference between the protagonists of Quevedo’s poem, Boda de negros, and those of the Nueva relación and Bayle, is that in Quevedo’s text they are referred to as slaves, while the others seem to be free born or freed. At least they are not labelled as slaves, and the details enumerated about their environment and social networks also fail to indicate whether or not they were slaves. In any case, many freed blacks lived under the same conditions as the mass of beggars and impoverished citizens who packed the streets and were concentrated on the steps of churches. But since these are fictional texts, written for Spanish people to laugh at black Africans, whether they were freed or enslaved is not crucial for the delivery of the racist message. In fact, the three texts present a mocking and completely distorted vision of the unions between people of sub-Saharan origin, which reflects the unconscious imagery of the dominant negrophobic ideology.

On the other hand, marriages between slaves, no matter whether they were Moorish, sub-Saharan, or Hindi (from “Portuguese India”), were generally objected to by their owners and disapproved of within society. The reason for this is that celibacy had long been the preferred status for servants, especially for women, irrespective of whether they were free domestic workers or slaves. In fact, in the case of free servants, many abandoned their work when getting married since they were committed to attending to their husbands and their own homes. Consequently, being single was associated with a greater convenience or disposition, and historical sources testify that marriages between slaves were relatively rare due to the strong
opposition of masters to the legalization of slave unions. Moreover, when slaves
did marry, they did not necessarily share a household as the new spouses generally
continued living separately at their respective owners’ houses.

The owner’s will was at variance with the dictates of Catholicism, since in
theory the church was in favour of slave unions. All Catholics were free to marry,
and the Council of Trent declared that slave matrimony was a divine and human
right. In fact, the church required of enslaved people the same conditions as the
rest of the population in order to marry (being baptized, being single, knowledge
of the rudiments of faith etc.). Similarly, the Catholic church accepted marriages
between free people and slaves, stating that masters should not censure slaves for
this reason, nor punish them or separate the couple by selling them to different
owners. Although forbidden by ecclesiastical legislation, punishment and separa-
tion of enslaved couples was, of course, a frequent custom, usually activated at the
moment they expressed their desire to marry. Indeed, this is the first issue addressed
in the slave marriage manuscript dossiers that we have studied. For example, the
file on the marriage between María de Padilla, a black slave, and Francisco Sánchez
Moreno, a free man, starts with the following formulation: “I petition that the priest
of the church of San Cecilio marries us and tells the owner of María not to sell her,
not to mark her with iron and not to transport her”. 28 Fugitive slaves were often
branded; the most common sign was an S on one cheek and an I (the depiction of a
nail) on the other cheek, a hieroglyphic depiction signifying slave (es-clavo), since
the word for nail in Spanish is clavo. 29

The principal reasons for the masters’ rejection of their slaves’ marriage were
economic, because marriage implied the loss of the market value of the slave. It
could also mean the death of female slaves since so many died in childbirth, or
increased costs as babies and small children, who were not able to work, needed to
be fed. In the entremés titled Los negros (The Blacks, 1602),30 the owner complains
of the decline of productivity of his black male slave which was due to the slave’s
affection for a sub-Saharan woman, saying that “recently, he has developed a notable
vice because he is attached to the little black woman of my neighbour. If she goes
out, he goes after her, and my neighbour is not served by his black slave and neither
am I by mine.”31

The selection of partners was usually correlated with the colour of the skin, but
not with ethnic origin. There was a “colour endogamy” and, therefore, blacks married
blacks, mulattos tended to marry mulattos, but it did not really matter if they had
completely different life experiences and backgrounds or if they did not belong to
the same African ethnic group. Since most marriages were based on the similarity
of skin colour, a marriage could take place between a slave man of sub-Saharan origin born in the Americas and a black slave woman brought to Spain from Africa when adolescent, regardless of their place of origin, which may have been Senegal or Angola. Historical data supports this; as in the case of the marriage between María de la Calle, a black *berberisca* (North African dark berber), and Francisco Fernández Valenzuela, a black slave from “Portuguese India” (probably a dark Tamil).  

Moreover, most marriages involving blacks took place among slave couples, with only a small percentage taking place between slaves and free Africans. The most likely to marry were freedmen and freedwomen, predominantly *ladinos*, which means they were born or had lived in Spain and/or Spanish colonies for a long period of time and were virtually assimilated since they spoke good Castilian. In fact, in Covarrubias’s seventeenth-century dictionary, *Tesoro de la lengua castellana o española* (Treasury of Castilian or Spanish Language, 1611), the definition of *ladino* is the same as *latino*, changing mute *t* for medium *d*: “The barbarous Spanish population learned the purity of the Roman language badly, and the ones who mastered it were called *ladinos*. They were looked upon as discrete and reasonable men, and that is the reason this name was given to well-respected people in any business; the Moors and foreigners who learned our language so well that we cannot differentiate them, are also called *ladinos*.”  

The dictionary definition clarifies the reason why Juan Latino, the protagonist of Jiménez de Enciso’s play, was referred to as a *ladino*.

But most of the black characters involved in literary sources concerning black weddings were not *ladinos*; they spoke *media lengua* (half language), *guineo*, or *habla de negros* (black speech).  

In fact, at the beginning of the seventeenth century, the great Francisco Quevedo, aware of the importance of mastering the technique of imitating sub-Saharan accents due to the popularity of laughing at black African characters, wrote that if an author wanted to write comedies or be a poet, he would have to know *guineo*, changing “l” to “r” and vice versa: “como Francisco, Flancico; primo, plimo”.  

And precisely the spelling of the word *primo* (cousin) in Bayle allows us to distinguish between who is black, and who is not.

The *habla de negros* is a typical characteristic of *bozales*, which was the word used in historical documents to describe sub-Saharan people who were born in Africa and recently brought to Spain as adolescents or adults. To be sure, the *Diccionario de Autoridades*’ (1729) definition of *bozal* is: “The illiterate who has to be polished. It is an epithet ordinarily used for blacks, especially if they have recently arrived from their lands, but it is also applied to rustic people. It is the contrary of *ladino*.”  

Consequently, *bozales* were not able to speak fluent Castilian and, thus, employed West African phonemes (Mandinga, Wolof, etc.) to pronounce Spanish words, which
resulted in the foreign accent caricatured in literary works. It is obvious that most blacks were illiterate, as were the majority of the white population.

Regarding Francisco de Avellaneda, the author of the short play, Bayle, there is very little historical data—although we know that he died in Madrid in 1684, and that apart from being a playwright, he was also appointed by the king to censor comedies, and was a general chronicler of the Kingdoms of Castile and León. Bayle opens with a black couple holding hands, dressed in a way to provoke ridicule. The fiancée of the wedding happens to be mute and the text is a description of the faults that the groom finds in his new bride. The rest of the characters, the godparents and guests, are placed in the lowest social position through the description of their outrageous dress and the fact that they are all disabled. Indeed, they are all described as “defective”; as either lame, one-armed, left-handed, or hunchbacked. The incorporation of black Africans into a group of people with physical difficulties tells us not only about the dreadful conditions of handicapped people at the time, but also about the stereotype of blackness as a corporeal flaw. Shamefully, throughout Bayle, the characters are laughed at and put down because of their disabilities or the colour of their skin. (Mocking disabled white people was also commonplace at the time.) Moreover, all the guests want to shake the newlyweds’ hands but are unable to, and the worst of each character is emphasized through their mordant commentaries about each other’s disabilities.

Afterwards, the husband becomes angry and is compared to a devil (a very common association at the time). In order to pacify the wedding guests, the spouse fetches a bag of coins for his brand new wife to buy provisions for the feast: confectionery, chickpeas, sausages, radishes, marmalade, marzipan, hens, couscous, fish, wine, olive oil, etc. But much to everyone’s surprise, the bag of money triggers the recovery of the wife’s capacity to speak. The wife uses her new speaking ability to say that she wishes to have a wedding ceremony with all the elements of white nuptials: plates, bellows, lights and frying pans, a velvet cloak, a skirt, dresses, petticoats, brocade tissues, hair buns and stockings, among other things. And the husband’s answer is: “Go back to being mute, how come you have spoken so much?” Being mute is considered throughout the short play as an optimal feminine quality. Therefore, the husband approves of the fact that his wife is mute, by saying: “Woman and without tongue, fine.” And the verses of the godmother express the same idea: “You have a silent woman, /in these times it’s plenty”. But even the godfather states that the wife will be subjected to her husband “without opening her mouth” even if she does not eat for a year. Indeed, silence and reclusion were considered feminine virtues, with, as one might imagine, no distinction between black and white women, and
were repeatedly noted by the Catholic moralists of the Renaissance and Baroque as feminine aspirations. Apart from the fact that women are not allowed to speak, the implication is that money makes mutes speak.

The text ends with the guests eating and dancing, and the black bride and groom singing the chorus: “Gurumbé, Gurumbé, Gurumbé, / it’s cloudy, but it seems like it’s going to rain” in so-called black speech. The gurumbé, like the guineo, the cumbé, and the zarambeque, were popular black dances that we have identified in different literary and historical sources. In this respect, sub-Saharan Africans had more freedom to preserve their dances and traditions than Moors did, since a distinctive feature of the Spanish context in comparison to northern European countries was the constant Spanish fear of Muslim invasion through the Mediterranean; black Africans, by contrast, were not perceived as posing the threat of conquest or invasion. On the contrary, they were seen as simple, harmless, and innocent people. In fact, Francisco Nuñez Muley, the spokesman of the Moorish community under King Philip II, complains that sub-Saharan African dances were permitted while leilas and zambras (Arabic dances) were prohibited, observing that Guineans were “the lowest caste.”

On the other hand, the anonymous ballad, Nueva relación, about the black wedding that takes place in the Puerto de Santa María, is a savage criticism of marriages involving people of sub-Saharan origin. This writing is far more negrophobic than in Bayle since all elements are meant to ridicule and satirize black Africans. Woodson, it seems, was right when he affirmed that from the eighteenth century on, due to the ruthless exploitation of the Spanish colonies, much bitterness developed against blacks. Since many ballads were “de ida y vuelta” (coming and going back and forth from the colonies to the metropolis), they could have originated in Spanish America and been transported to Spain or the other way around. It is also relevant to understand that the decline of the romancero starts in the eighteenth century, when mediocre poets who were not so admired by the general public or by the intellectual elite wrote vulgar poems, such as the ones we allude to here.

The protagonists of the Nueva relación are Tomás de Melo, who is labelled as the “famous black,” and Lucía, the woman he had courted for two years before she agreed to marry him. Lucía is presented as a good dancer who knew how to sing the cumbé (a dance of African origin), and who earned her living selling roasted chickpeas, prawns, and small doughnuts or fritters, which was a common occupation for black women as suggested by paintings of the time. The wedding takes place on 28 December, which corresponds to the day of the inocentes (innocents’ day), a day for laughing at people that is still celebrated today.
The anonymous author reveals that Lucía is the “daughter of good parents, even though they were all black.” The godfather of the wedding is Maldonado, whose job is making chocolate, an occupation directly related to his skin colour, and the godmother, Serafina Pacheco, is said to be a black African lady famed because of her fritters. The rest of the guests are all people of sub-Saharan ancestry, living in nearby towns such as Cádiz, Sanlúcar, Jerez, Rota, and the Puerto de Santa María, all of them cities with large black populations. The fact that they earn their living, and had a house in which to celebrate the wedding and a social network that includes people living in the vicinity, indicates that they were freed or free born black Africans.

The poem gives us almost no information about Tomás, the groom, but the bride is the target of cruel mockery based on her physical appearance. In fact, Lucía’s ironically celebrated “beauty” is described by comparing her with animals. Her hair is said to be so black that village people would doubt if it was from a ram, denoting that it looked like sheep’s wool. Her forehead is depicted as big and open, and her eyebrows are not “velvety hair, since the Master forgot it”. The unknown author of the writing describes her eyes as the envy of cats, because they are as bright as a blazing fire in her black face. The nose is said to be short and wide, making it difficult for her to breathe. Her mouth is likened to a bag, her teeth to those of a calf, her tongue to that of a cow, her chin to a table-top; her neck is wide and short, her hands are compared with those of a bear, and she is said to have the loin of an elephant. And even her breasts are said to be enormous and hanging over her belly.

Clearly the author is consciously describing Lucía’s African traits as being ugly. The claim that black women were attractive in the collective imagery of early modern times seems more a contemporary notion projected back in time; if black African men were marginalized in Spanish early modern literature, black women occupied the lowest rung of the social ladder.

Before the wedding takes place, a hunt is undertaken in order to obtain food for the wedding celebration, again the same theme dealt with in the seventeenth-century short Bayle. The description of the hunt is an excuse to allude repeatedly to the fact that blacks and dogs are similar and interchangeable. But the comparison of black people with dogs is not restricted to this poem or to this epoch; on the contrary, it is an ordinary association in Golden Age literature and this interjection is used in almost all romances and plays containing sub-Saharan characters. Rubio, the master of Gaspar in Simón Aguado’s Entremés de los negros, calls his slave “dog,” and even a white servant employs the same formula for a mulatto girl in the Entremés de los Mirones. Nevertheless, people belonging to religious minorities—Jews, Moors, or Protestants, but also underprivileged people in general—could be pejoratively
called dogs, since it was a popular insult at the time. But in the case of black African people, it might be even more significant. Quevedo, a master of word play, employed an old-fashioned word, *perrengue*, etymologically related to dog, in the *Boda de negros*; and, surprisingly, the 1992 edition of the dictionary of the Royal Spanish Academy still defined this word (the use of which now constitutes a racist offence) as: “A black person, since he gets hungry easily or acts like a dog.” This definition has been omitted in the latest editions, but it is quite shocking that it was preserved at least until the end of the twentieth century.

After the religious ceremony, during the feast, the guests indulged in a number of popular black African dances such as the *zapateado* (shoe clapping), *minuetes de guineo* (Guinean minuets), and *cadena de Congo* (Congo chain). Again we see the same theme of dances of African origin that were so popular in Spain and that might have left traces in Andalusian folkloric music. We agree with Kate Lowe when she states that: “European records constantly testify to the belief in black Africans’ innate sense of rhythm, but also to the stereotype of black Africans unable to control their urges to make music, sing and dance, thus turning something very positive into something more negative, linked yet again to black African lack of civilisation and self-control.” Precisely while the guests were dancing, the dramatic scene reaches its peak, because four hooded young men, most likely whites, although not revealed as such, enter furtively and steal the victuals prepared for the celebration, leaving only the bones and a written paper saying literally: “Cousins, eat these bones.”

The guests run after the thieves, brandishing their swords. This is another clue which leads us to believe that the characters are free born or freed black Africans: the right to carry weapons, a right that was absolutely forbidden to slaves. During the scandal, Tomás stumbled and fell through the stairs, fracturing his leg and his head, and the guests, who pursued the thieves out into the street, were blocked by a group of soldiers. At this point, the poem achieves its xenophobic “comic” climax by degrading the characters. The bride appears to be on the floor with unkempt hair, her breast showing, a scratched face, and her bottom “in the air,” and therefore uncovered. The scene is unfortunate for Lucía in that she is deprived of any kind of dignity, not being attended by a doctor, but by a veterinarian who administrates a boiling enema, which results in her dying in her own faeces. The ballad is brought to a close with laughter at the lame fiancé and his companions, who were sent to jail and ended up without honour, and supporting the behaviour of the thieves who stole the money and kept the food.

Clearly this poem is an example of the extreme racism that existed in Spain, but it also contains valuable information on the social networks of the African commun-
ity in Andalusia. In the eighteenth century, black people residing in Cádiz, especially freed men, seemed to have a broader social network that included whites. This is the case of Antonio María Machuca, who was born in Guinea in 1725 and baptized in Seville at the age of eight. He learned to read and write Spanish, and became a music master. He was manumitted at the age of 25 and moved to Cádiz, where he became part of a wide social network including black slaves and freed people, but also prominent white figures, such as merchants and noblemen whom he had met as the servant of the black confraternity of Cádiz. Antonio married four freed black women between 1760 and 1774, each time in a church wedding. The fact that he even had a white servant, called Juan the Galician, underlines the notion that he enjoyed a better social position than a number of white Spaniards. Consequently, envy and even fear could also have been present in the startling literary images employed in eighteenth-century poems satirizing black attempts to imitate the wedding customs of white Spaniards of the time.

Black solidarity and companionship can be also corroborated through historical sources, such as the marriage dossier of the couple Joseph de Jesús Espejo and Ana Isabel, whose story is meticulously narrated by more than 30 black witnesses, men and women, slaves and freed people, among whom was a sergeant of the black battalion of Cádiz. Both slaves were born in Cabo Verde and brought into Andalusia when they were children. Joseph came across her on several occasions, falling in love with her. He convinced a freed woman to set a date in her house and, in front of several guests, he succeeded in obtaining her marriage promise. They finally married in 1692. But Isabel Ana had not been his only lover, since Joseph had maintained a parallel relationship with another black woman, who had persistently tried to marry him using all her connections in the black community of Cádiz, but had never achieved it.

Nevertheless, the difficulties and obstacles that black Africans encountered in marrying, as well as the fact that their aspirations were the butt of satire, reveal the resistance that black marriage generated in white society. It seems that the backing of these unions by ecclesiastics was due to efforts to implement catholic doctrine, rather than a sincere support of the black population, since Spanish Catholics never held the flag of abolitionism as British Quakers did.

Through the study of literary depictions of black African weddings, we have attempted to outline the development of racism based on skin colour rather than on cultural differences, and to confirm the persistence of extreme racist stereotypes into the enlightened eighteenth-century. Strong racial discrimination in a society where class prejudices and social hierarchy reigned, and where underprivileged
whites themselves had enormous difficulties in making progress, gave birth to these popular poems. The recourse to denigrating topics by early modern Spanish writers in their definition of the “other” (in this case the depiction of black Africans), such as their connection to demoniac and animal qualities, the unattractiveness of dark skin, their uncontrolled sexuality, and their association with dirtiness and scatology, are undoubtedly meant to affirm the supremacy of white Europeans.

Notes

1. We would like to thank Professor Tony Harris from the Department of English Philology (University of Granada, Spain) for kindly checking the English of this paper. The following abbreviations have been used:

BN: Biblioteca Nacional, Madrid.
BFT: Biblioteca de la Facultad de Teología, Granada.
AHN: Archivo Histórico Nacional, Madrid.
ACEG: Archivo de la Curia Episcopal de Granada, Granada.
AMG: Archivo Municipal de Granada.
ACD: Archivo Diocesano de Cádiz.

2. The Castilian word “negro” (black) could be used to describe people from very different cultures, religions, and nations. For a description of the groups that could be described as blacks, see: Aurelia Martín Casares, “Free and freed black Africans at the time of Spanish Renaissance,” in T. F. Earle and K. J. P. Lowe, ed., Black Africans in Renaissance Europe (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005), pp. 247–60.

3. All translations of Spanish literary sources quoted in this paper have been made by the authors. Our only intention is to communicate the meanings of the verses, paragraphs, and titles used as literary sources in this article and make them understandable, but not to make any kind of erudite translation.


15. The character of Luis has not received much attention. For a summary of the short novel in English, see Woodson.


20. This article forms part of a long-term, interdisciplinary research project financed by the Spanish Ministry of Education entitled “Black Africans and their descendants in Spain: 1492–1886” at the University of Granada in Spain. Our research team is systematically gathering imagery, literary texts, and historical documents and cross-referencing the different sources in order to record the life and experiences of black Africans in Spain from an interdisciplinary perspective that includes history, anthropology, literature, and art. Up to now, we have gathered more than a hundred literary texts printed in Spain between the sixteenth and eighteenth centuries that portray black African characters, men or women. We are also working on nineteenth-century Cuban and Puerto Rican literary sources, trying to understand the abolition process and its ethnic implications.
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