SATISFACTION AND EXPERIENCE WITH THE UNITY SUSPENSION SYSTEM

Hossein Gholizadeh *1,2, Edward D Lemaire1,3, David Nielen2, Patrick Lebel2

1Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Centre for Rehabilitation Research and Development, Ottawa, Canada.
2Prosthetics & Orthotics, Ottawa Hospital Rehabilitation Centre, Ottawa, Canada.
3Faculty of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Canada.

* Email: gholizadeh87@yahoo.com

BACKGROUND

While elevated vacuum suspension systems have some benefits over the other suspension approaches 1–5, elevated vacuum may not be appropriate for all amputees. The Unity sleeveless vacuum suspension system was developed to overcome issues related to knee range of motion and amputees comfort 6. This study compared the Unity suspension system with suction and pin/lock systems based on user satisfaction and experience with these systems.

METHODS

Twelve people with unilateral transtibial amputation were fitted with the Ossur Unity elevated vacuum suspension system, with 57.2 (SD=15.3) years mean age, 178.3 (SD=6.4) cm height, and 90.6 (SD=16.4) kg weight. Participants completed the Prosthesis Evaluation Questionnaire (PEQ) for their current prosthesis and again, following a minimum 4 week accommodation period, for the Unity suspension system.

RESULTS

On average, participants required seven sessions (SD=2) for casting, gait training, socket adjustment, and troubleshooting before successful fitting. All participants mentioned no movement inside the socket and improved proprioception (i.e., feel where the prosthetic leg is in space) compared to their previous suspension system. After completed the study protocol, 75% of participants (nine people) preferred to continue with the elevated vacuum suspension system since they felt more comfortable walking. Two people preferred their original pin/lock suspension system because they felt more freedom and comfort during kneeling compared to pin/lock systems. One participant preferred to continue with his original suction system (Seal-In X5 and one way valve) because he felt more pressure around the seal area with Seal-In V and elevated vacuum.

CONCLUSION

Amputee satisfaction can be improved with the Unity system compared to pin/lock and suction sockets. However, Unity may not be appropriate for some amputees since there is less freedom and comfort during kneeling compared to pin/lock systems. In this study, a high functioning group with transtibial amputation (K3, K4) was recruited. The Unity system’s effect on comfort for people with lower activity levels is still unclear.
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