thèse de Ph.D., Université d'Ottawa, 1975. Voir surtout les pp. 201-245: 'Analyse de *La Revue nationale*.'

DAVID M. HAYNE

(David M. Hayne est professeur de français à l'Université de Toronto, et président de la Société bibliographique du Canada.)

Psychiatry and the Judicial Process: A Bibliography. Compiled by Robert J. Menzies. Toronto: Centre of Criminology, University of Toronto, 1979. 75 p., paper, \$2.50 ISBN 0-919584-42-X

Understandable, retrievable, accessible, and accurate: these are touchstones for any bibliography. Failure in these four matters renders a bibliography suspect, and reduces its usefulness. This bibliography of some 600 items in fifteen sections appears, from a terse but slightly obscure foreword, to be intended for the orientation of lawyers and other nonmedical persons into the literature of 'a discipline so young, complex, and misunderstood – which stands at the crucial intersection between law and medicine'. Admirable.

One of the lawyer's bad habits is a terse bibliographic style, intelligible only to the profession. Menzies fortunately does not use it, but in avoiding it he may have made the citations of legal literature stumbingly unfamiliar to lawyers. He does fall into the common trap: if a citation includes a personal name he has a handle, but for anonymous periodical articles he uses a style for the title different from the title of articles under authors' names; and he consistently fails to give adequate information about conference papers and symposia. Stating the date and name of the conference does not really indicate whether the paper was published, or where. Barring one or two statements of 'Unpublished', Menzies leaves the user guessing on at least eighteen papers. He also has difficulty with governmental hearings and reports. The Royal Commission on Criminal Law has a report on law relating to criminal sexual psychopaths, entered under McRuer, with a Queen's Printer imprint, which can probably be located; but what about this?

Quimet Report of the Canadian Committee on Corrections. Ottawa: Information Canada, 1969.

And the following item will take a bit of searching through the *Monthly Catalogue*:

Rubin, S., and Ennis, B. *Hearings on the Constitutional Rights of the Mentally Ill.* Washington: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1970.

What hearings: Senate? House? Committee? Document number? Date of hearing? Did Rubin and Ennis present a brief, or are they the committee? The sole item published by an association or corporate body which is given a correct imprint seems to be

Rosenburg, A.M. *The Unwilling Patient.* London: Institute for the Study and Treatment of Delinquency, 1966.

The numerous others of the type have the name of the corporate body hooked to the title by a comma, with nothing in the publisher's slot of the imprint.

There is occasional plain carelessness, much of it in Section C so that one wonders who compiled the section. In 43 items, McNaghten is misspelled thrice, in two ways; Berkeley (and elsewhere Tallahassee and Connecticut) is misspelled; and Yale University Press is located in London. And is it the *DePaul* or *DePauw Law Review*? I can never remember. But I do think it is *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, not *Acta Psychiat*

Scandinavia – and the abbreviation would be *Acta Psychiat. Scand.*

A 600-item list should not be accused of incompleteness – Menzies did not claim it was exhaustive – so there is no point compiling statistics on the size of the literature for 1950 to 1978. A quick look into *Social Science Citation Index*'s Permuterm index showed plenty of material under 'Psychiatry' coupled with legal terms – there must be no lack of reading matter. The Menzies bibliography is probably admirably brief; though as it has no annotations or other evaluative apparatus, there is no guessing (for reviewer or user) where to start or leave off.

A University of Toronto body should do a better bibliographic editing job.

D. A. REDMOND

(Mr. Redmond is Geology / Geography Librarian, and former Chief Librarian, at Queen's University. He has prepared two editions of the Checklist of the Arthur Conan Doyle Collection at the Metropolitan Toronto Library and edited Bigelow on Holmes, an index to the literature of Sherlock Holmes published by the same library.)

Le Roman canadien-français: évolution, témoignages, bibliographie. 3e éd. Archives des lettres canadiennes: publication du Centre de recherche en civilisation canadienne-française de l'Université d'Ottawa, tome III. Montréal: Fides, 1977. 514 p., broché ISBN 0-7755-0645-1

Cet ouvrage, publié par la maison Fides, se veut un survol du roman canadien-français des 19e et 20e siècles. Il se divise en trois parties: la première, la plus imposante, comprend seize études par des spécialistes sur divers aspects du roman de chez-nous. Elles portent soit sur un écrivain, un roman ou un thème. Des textes plus généraux complètent l'ensemble. La seconde partie renferme les témoignages inédits de vingt-deux romanciers québécois de Jean-Charles Harvey à Louise Maheux-Forcier. Ceux-ci nous livrent leurs motivations et leurs réflexions sur le roman et son avenir. Une photographie (souvent un peu ancienne!) et une courte biographie accompagnent chaque témoignage. La dernière partie, la plus utile aux membres de la Société, contient une bibliographie du roman canadien-français de 1837 à 1962. On y présente les études (ouvrages généraux, livres et thèses ainsi que les articles), les romans classés par auteur, les mêmes classés par année de publication et enfin les romans pour adolescents. Un supplément bibliographique complète la bibliographie principale en incluant les romans publiés entre 1963 et 1969. Le supplément n'ajoute rien aux romans pour adolescents.

La bibliographie est bien présentée et contient toutes les informations usuelles y compris les différentes éditions, les traductions anglaises, et les pseudonymes. Seuls les publications sous forme de livres sont incluses. A première vue, tout semble parfait. Le 'hic', c'est que ce livre est une troisième édition publiée en 1977. La première édition date de 1964 et la seconde, considérablement augmentée, de 1971. Cette troisième édition n'ajoute à peu près rien à celle de 1971 sauf quelques modifications à deux études. Très utile in 1971, notre édition ou plutôt notre réimpression a beaucoup vieilli en 1977.

Les sections 'études' et 'témoignages' ignorent totalement les romanciers les plus marquants des années '70 tels que Marie-Claire Blais, Réjean Ducharme et Victor-Lévy Beaulieu pour ne mentionner que ceux-là.