'Debates about music are not about nothing.'

Over the last half-century, scholars have extensively studied and debated the use and function of instrumental and vocal music in the English mystery plays, but music in the secular English interlude drama has yet to receive similar treatment. This is not without good reason: the subject of music in the interludes is fraught with ambiguity and uncertainty. Although the extant interludes contain many indications of song in the form of references, snatches, cues, stage directions, and even full song texts, very little scored music has been preserved in either manuscript or print. Richard Rastall’s observation with regard to music in early English religious drama might also be made of music in the interludes: ‘the surviving written music is only a fraction of that actually required in performance’. To be sure, absent musical scores and elided stage directions present special problems for the researcher. Thus, very sensibly, discussions of music in secular interlude drama have tended to limit themselves to those rare play texts that contain significant music in score, such as John Rastell’s *The Four Elements* and Ulpian Fulwell’s *Like Will to Like*. As Richard Rastall further notes, since documentation is quite scarce ‘informed guesswork is the only way forward’ in discussions of music in early English drama, ‘although the word “informed” is one that needs to be stressed’. Suzanne Westfall observes that ‘entertainments in great households were almost always occasional, ephemeral and frequently non-textual due to their multi-mediality. Consequently, they are extremely difficult to recover without some documentation such as visual representation, musical score, or some description of movement and dance’. 

Amidst non-textuality and the resulting shortage of extant scores to serve as documentation of musical activity, even the most restrained speculative approach still leads to the conclusion that music and musicians were crucial
both to the emergence of the interlude as a genre of household entertainment and in the actual performance of interludes. Arguably, the musicality of late medieval and early modern English drama derives from the presence of minstrels among players. In addition to physical comedy, tumbling, dancing, tricks, improvisation, singing, and instrumental performance, the minstrel repertoire included acting and recitation. To be successful in their craft, both itinerant and liveried minstrels had to be versatile performers; a similar versatility was also required of the actor-singers who played the Vice — the flamboyantly transgressive mischief-maker frequent in secular English interlude drama.  

Although the term ‘interlude’ remains contested, by the early Tudor period interludes were typically short plays performed by troupes of four to six players — often termed ‘interluders’ — who worked under the auspices of the court, noble households, and even ecclesiastical households. Interlude performances would frequently have occurred in the great hall, often as part of a larger program that might include dining, dancing, musical performance, and other sorts of entertainment. From a theatrical perspective, the great hall of a household is perhaps best understood as a mixed-use space transformed by the performances — of everyday life and of various entertainments — that occurred within it. These performances would have been heard as well as seen, with the interplay of aurality and visuality within this otherwise ordinary household space heightened by occasions of extraordinary theatricality. Julia Craig-McFeely cautions that ‘especially in the modern world, with its privileging of sight, we tend to consider music as sound dissociated from the visual and social space that it occupied, and hence overlook vital aspects of its meaning and purpose’. As a household entertainment, the dramatic interlude uses music to mediate, both semantically and semiotically, between the performative subjectivity of the ‘play’ and the concrete objectivity of the ‘game’. It is suggestive that by the later Middle Ages the Latin ludus meant ‘school’ as well as ‘stage play’ and ‘game’; in performance, the Vice’s singing circulates through these notions until at last the interlude fully exposes its didactic purpose. But in order for the interlude’s hero to be redeemed, he must first be seduced.

‘Enter the Vice’

The rise of the interlude as a dramatic genre is also closely tied to the emergence of the professional player, and, as Peter Happé points out, ‘there is
little doubt that the rise of the common actor occurred at roughly the same time as the disappearance of the itinerant minstrel'. This ‘same time’ was a long transitional period during which the performative activities of minstrels and players overlapped. For instance, in *Wisdom*, an anonymous East Anglian play from the 1460s, the figures representing the soul’s three Mights — Mynde, Wyll, and Understondyng — each call upon players accompanied by ‘mynstrallys’ to perform dumbshows that enact the several vices of each Might. Wyll introduces each of his seven vices-of-the-flesh pageant-style as they enter with their ‘mynstrell’ whom he also announces:

Yowr mynstrell a hornepype, mete,
þat fowll ys in hymselff but to erys swete.

Wyll understands the minstrel and his music to be depraved and grasps music’s power to deceive the senses.

Earlier in *Wisdom*, Mynde, Understondyng, and Wyll sing a three-part song for which neither the text nor the music is supplied; only the stage direction ‘et cantant’ is provided (620sd). Mynde takes the ‘tenowr’ part (617), Understondyng takes the ‘mene’ or middle voice (618), then Wyll claims the remaining part and makes explicit the connection between merry music and mischief:

And but a trebull I owtwrynge,
The Devell hym spede þat myrthe exyled!

*Mankind*, a moral interlude from the 1470s, also calls for ‘mynstrellys’ to perform within the play; at one point, Myscheff, the primary Vice, even refers to another Vice, Nought, as a ‘mynstrell’ and urges him to ‘blow’ his ‘flewte’ to announce the devil’s entrance (451–53). Indeed, the putative ontological relationship between minstrelsy and vice had been well established by this time: itinerant minstrels in particular were considered morally deficient figures predisposed to vice and depravity; their slippery social and performative identities combined with their ‘mobility’ to render them suspect. Moreover, like minstrels, dramatic Vice figures employed theatrically and socially transgressive manoeuvres in order to cultivate relationships of ‘complicity’ and ‘solidarity’ with the great-hall spectators who were often within, and always in close proximity to, the dramatic performance.
and intimate performance situation, the immediacy of the Vice characters’ musical mischief works to seduce the audience as well as the dramatic hero.

In *Mankind*, Myscheff serves as a sort of master of the Vices’ ceremonies, with Nought, Nowadays, and New Gyse comprising a virtual guild of Vices. Together, they move among the ‘sers’ and collaborate to lure these spectators into singing a song, from which the dramatic hero Mankind abstains (ll. 332 ff.). Nowadays clears the way, then Nought provides the song cue:

Nowadays Make rom sers, for we have be longe!
We wyll cum gyf yow a Crystemes songe.

Nought Now I prey all þe yemandry þat ys here
To synge wyth ws wyth a mery chere;

Nought then supplies his fellow Vices and the audience with a musical prompt; he sings what is probably the first line and the tune of a popular song: ‘Yt ys wretyn wyth a colle, yt ys wretyn wyth a cole’. New Gyse, Nowadays, and the spectators then follow Nought’s lead and sing the same line: ‘Yt ys wretyn wyth a colle, yt ys wretyn wyth a cole’. Now that the tune has been established, Nought introduces the new lyric: ‘He þat schytyth wyth his hoyll, he þat schytyth wyth his hoyll’, which New Gyse, Nowadays, and the audience then sing. As Rastall observes, ‘the deceit of this event is paramount. Since mortals’ songs of praise are in imitation of angelic song, the Vices’ promise of a “Christmas song” would have borne precisely that implication’.19 The Vices have perversely enchanted the audience; in supplying these unexpected words to a familiar tune, the Vices employ sung obscenity — a devilish trait — to coerce the unsuspecting audience into colluding. For this to succeed, the spectators must be tricked in such a way that they will be too stunned to resist the new words they suddenly find themselves singing.

Not only words but also music ‘signifies by means of some signifying code … But no code is originary; there is no single code which is the key to the work, nor is there a code which explains all other codes’.20 As Monelle asserts,

the apparent power of a code to explain a piece [or song] may in fact be subverted when the listener realizes that the code is itself part of the surface material governed by other codes.21
When familiar music combines with new song text, the signs accumulate and produce "unending codes". But when the song is performed by the Vice figure — the embodiment of his own signifying symptom — within the interlude’s frame of expectations, the musical code becomes self-governing.

Although the seductive Vice tends to conceal his true nature — which is in fact not nature but artifice — from other dramatic characters, he typically reveals it to the audience or to other Vices; in this performative strategy, "the personator", namely the actor, "is not entirely lost in the personated" Vice figure. Robert Weimann and Douglas Bruster coin the term "personation" to denote performance practice that "privileges the making of the mask, the skill and the show of playing the role of another". Indeed, the Vice simultaneously foregrounds his performative identity and his musical and acting skills; song, in which the Vice sings about his activities or relishes the mischief he has already wrought, is a frequent vehicle for the Vice’s personation. As Happé notes, in the interludes "there is a strong convention that a group of villains, led ... by the specialist Vice who apparently was often a competent singer, should celebrate their solidarity, depravity, or the accomplishment of their plotting by means of a song". This convention also demands that the actor-singer who embodies and enacts the Vice render his performative "competence" visible.

The Vice in his ethopoeia is thus "a kind of meta-role, defined by its ability to transgress definition, its identity lying in its operating in only provisional identities". Ironically, this instability renders unpredictable the driving character in the moral interlude, which is a particularly predictable dramatic genre. When the Vice is at his most transgressive his dramatic identity is the mischief he sets in motion, and in the context of pre- and early-Reformation England the audience understands this mischief to be the devil’s work. Although in fifteenth-century English cycle drama the stage devil is frequently accompanied by an entourage of personified sins or vices, by the early sixteenth century, the dramatic devil merges with his own entourage to form the Vice figure. A Vice figure may appear individually or as a sort of deputy to a named devil, or — as in Mankind — as part of a group of Vices. Whether singly or in conspiracy with others, Vice figures set out to wreck the ‘social harmony’ of the drama by engaging in mischief — including subterfuge, dissimulation, and temptation — that also brings about the seduction of the interlude hero into a single bad act or an entirely bad life. The seduction of the audience extends this wreckage to include spectators as quasi-dramatic characters subject to both influence and transformation. For the audience,
the Vice’s personation resonates simultaneously within immediate performance and within the minstrel-Vice tradition of transgressive representation, which includes seductive musicality.

In his discussion of the use of music by wicked characters in early English religious drama, Richard Rastall points out that ‘the Devil and his agents use music — even good music — to beguile a potential victim. This might be called the “seduction” or “deceit” position’. Since deceit is a component of seduction, the secular interlude Vice may be said to advance the ‘seduction’ position. Within the drama, song both complements and advances the interlude Vice’s seduction project, in which the activities range from benign troublemaking, such as Mery Reporte’s manipulative stage-management in John Heywood’s comedy _A Play of the Wether_, to the outright malignant, as in Revenge’s incitement to matricide in Pickering’s _Horestes_ or Sedycyon’s betrayal in Bale’s _King Johan_. The singing Vices in each of these three plays will reappear later in this essay to illustrate both the pattern and the variety of this musico-dramatic phenomenon.

**Singing as Seductive Sign**

In his controversial theory of seduction, Jean Baudrillard claims that ‘seduction … never belongs to the order of nature, but that of artifice — never to the order of energy, but that of signs and rituals’. A signifying performance becomes a ritual when it is repeated. A sign, such as a voice or a scent, may thus also be a ritual, and a ritual, such as song, may also be a sign. As singular sign or repeated ritual, song in dramatic representation provokes an ‘immediate attraction’ that puts seduction in motion. According to Baudrillard, ‘because seduction never stops at the truth of signs, but operates by deception and secrecy, it inaugurates a mode of circulation that is itself secretive and ritualistic, a sort of immediate initiation that plays by its own rules’. In this way, ‘to be seduced is to be turned from one’s truth. To seduce is to lead the other from his/her truth’. This distraction from truth is the Vice’s goal, but to achieve his goal he cannot openly challenge the hero. Although ‘challenge and seduction are quite similar … there is a difference’:

in a challenge, one draws the other into one’s area of strength … whereas in a strategy (?) of seduction, one draws the other into one’s area of weakness … To seduce is to appear weak. To seduce is to render weak. We seduce with our weakness,
never with strong signs or powers. In seduction, we enact this weakness, and this is what gives seduction its strength.\textsuperscript{33}

Paradoxically, then, the Vice is both the strongest and the weakest dramatic character in the interlude. The hero’s and the audience’s ‘truth’ is a ‘social relation’\textsuperscript{34} subject to diversion by the Vice, whose misuse of music evinces a moral weakness that softens and seduces the hero and the audience while it also advances the dramatic action toward the didactic conclusion.\textsuperscript{35} The Vice’s victory ultimately constitutes his defeat, which is self-defeat. The hero will succumb to the Vice’s seduction but be redeemed; in this way the Vice is vanquished, the moral struggle resolved, and the social harmony restored. This reversal, and the consequent ritualization and predictability of the interlude genre, finally expose the Vice’s slipperiness. He fails in his program of seduction because ‘seduction is immediately reversible, and its reversibility is constituted by the challenge it implies and the secret in which it is absorbed’.\textsuperscript{36} In order to fail at his own goal, the Vice must explicitly reveal his implicit challenge, the recognition of which is the function of the didactic drama. And since the interlude’s outcome is already known, the drama must locate its energy in the seducer’s performance.

In this performance, the seducer-Vice is always a more engaging, amusing, energetic, and attractive dramatic character than the seduced hero. The Vice’s performative mode of song signifies and amplifies his seductive \textit{ethopoëia}. Certainly, ‘songs were a common element in the part of the Vice, for he was able to use them to establish his identity, to show off his cleverness, and, because of his structural role to make significant steps in the development of the play’;\textsuperscript{37} song is indeed instrumental in implementing the Vice’s identity as an enchanter of both the audience and the hero. In her discussion of occult magic and theatrical music, Linda Austern points out that ‘there are three main categories of musical enchanter in English Renaissance drama, roughly parallel to the categories of rational, irascible, and concupiscible into which \textit{The Praise of Musicke} divides the soul and the categorical affections of music’.\textsuperscript{38} The Vice figure practices concupiscible enchantment in both his referential functions, which engage the story space, and his performant functions, which engage the theatrical space.

Jean Alter argues that although referential functions and performant functions ‘interact’ and ‘coexist’, their ‘coexistence does not mean simultaneity’. These two functions are ‘mutually exclusive’ in their ‘tension’ and they ‘compete, at any given moment, for the attention of performers and spectators’. In
a theatrical performance, Alter asserts, the ‘referential function is carried out with signs that aim at imparting information’, but the performant functions ‘fall outside the operations of *semiosis*’.39 The interlude audience, however, perceives the singing Vice as an undivided sign: the performant actor-singer and the referential character achieve simultaneity. The Vice’s metonymicality, moreover, also ensures that the singing Vice and his dramatic descendents — singing clowns and fools — ever fall entirely outside the ‘operations of *semiosis*’.40

Shakespeare’s *Twelfth Night* foregrounds the dual referential and performant *semiosis* of the Vice’s performance. By 1600–1, the likely date of *Twelfth Night*, the Vice figure had become a resonant dramatic relic. In the text of *Twelfth Night*, Feste is called ‘Feste’ only once; in the stage directions he is ‘Clowne’ and other characters refer to him frequently as ‘Foole’. In each case, however, Feste is onomastically his performative type. As the fool-clown, disguised as Sir Topas, Feste collapses the referential and the performant functions when he comments metatheatrically on his own activities, affirming their affinities with those of his performative progenitor, the ‘old Vice’. Feste’s act 4 exit song is a sign that points back simultaneously to his own earlier mischief in the drama and to the earlier Vice figure’s connection to the devil, who also disguises himself and his intentions in order to make mischief. Feste’s song and his singing constitute a polyvalent sign:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malvolio</th>
<th>Foole, I’ll requite thee in the highest degree. I prethee, be gone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clown</td>
<td>I am gone, sir, and anon, sir,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ile be with you againe:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In a trice, like to the old vice,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>your neede to sustaine,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Who with dagger of lath, in his rage and his wrath,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cries ah ha, to the diuell:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Like a mad lad, paire thy nayles, dad,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adieu good man diuell.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exit (4.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feste’s song production exposes both the actor-singer and the clown he plays: Malvolio now sees Sir Topas’s/Feste’s *true* identity in the clown’s song/act of singing. While Feste ridicules the histrionics of the Vice’s past performance (‘his rage and his wrath’), he also enacts them. At once he reveals his actorly awareness of the mischievous dramatic ritual he embodies by self-referencing the conventionality of his Vice-like exit song, which serves representational
as well as structural and ritual purposes. With reference to heavenly music in English religious drama, John Stevens claims that the ‘point was not to increase dramatic tension or to “soften up” the audience, but representation’; when worldly music is used by naughty dramatic characters, however, this softening-up is in fact being represented. Feste’s exit song represents his ‘old’ vicehood, which resonates through his actorly performance. As Weimann and Bruster point out, ‘memories of the Vice are closely related to presentational practice in which performance is displayed in its own right’.

If, as Stevens and Rastall suggest, heavenly music represents holiness and heavenniness, then the possibility that worldly music represents corruption and worldliness might also be considered. Because of the Shakespearean Falstaff’s mischief-making and his subversive activities, he has been taken to resemble aspects of the old interlude Vice. But one more sign of Falstaff’s Vice has been overlooked, namely, his musicality. For example, in the *Merry Wives of Windsor*, Falstaff peppers his discourse with titles and lines from popular songs first written for not the stage but consumption by the public outside the theatre. In so doing, Falstaff demonstrates what Adorno terms ‘atomistic listening’ which produces a concupiscible enchantment that ‘loses itself weakly … in the charm of the moment, the pleasant single sound, the easily graspable and recollectable memory’. Falstaff then uses these graspable and recollectable snatches of popular song to market himself and his seductive project. The ‘commodity character’ of this popular music is foregrounded by the theatrical frame and through the corrupt workings of Falstaff’s ‘personality’, more specifically, his exuberant seductivity. Recall as well that in act 2 scene 2 of *1 Henry IV* the tricked and humiliated Falstaff threatens to take revenge on Hal, Peto, Poins, and Bardolph not with violence but with song:

> Hang thyself in thine own heir-apparent garters! If I be ta'en, I’ll peach for this. And I have not ballads made on you all and sung to filthy tunes, let a cup of sack be my poison. (2.2.43–6)

Falstaff is describing the way that existing tunes could be transferred among new lyrics for invective purposes. In these cases, the music carried its prior unsavory associations with it into its new verbal context by imparting its own code and significance to the new words. Here, the old ballads will not only retain their originary ‘filthy’ qualities but will even convey them to the new jigs through which Falstaff plans to mock his friends publicly. In the actorly
project of playing Falstaff, the musical code both embeds and evokes such mischief.

Long before Falstaff’s engagement with popular song, stage music was perceived to be the worst sort of worldly music. Quintilian, the first-century Roman rhetorician, had expressed anxieties about the capacity of music to seduce — to deceive and to deprave. Quintilian’s fragmentary *Institutio Oratoria*, a widely relied-upon educational text in the Middle Ages, gained even greater authority after a more complete manuscript was discovered in 1416. According to Quintilian, the worst worldly music was seductive stage music of the sort ‘prevailing in the theaters and being of an effeminate sort, loaded with lustful notes, [that] has largely excised whatever manliness remained among us’. This gendered pessimism regarding theatrical music combines with the English Reformation’s increasing anxieties about any dramatic representation in which actors by ‘outward signs’ show themselves otherwise than they are. Stephen Gosson argues that by

outward signes, euery man must show him selfe outwardly to be such as in deed he is. Outward signes consist eyther in words or gestures, to declare our selues by wordes or by gestures to be otherwise then we are, is an act executed where it should not, therefore a lye. According to Gosson, theatrical spectacle is a seductive ‘lie’ that effects a disruptive misrepresentation and invites the devil’s attention:

The diuel is not ignorant how mightely these outward spectacles effeminate and soften the hearts of men, vice is learned with beholding, sense is tickled, desire pricked, and those impressions of mind are secretly conuedyed ouer to the gazers, which the plaiers do counterfeit on the stage. As long as we know our selues to be flesh, beholding those examples in Theaters that are incident to flesh, wee are taught by other mens examples how to fall. And they that came honest to a play, may depart infected.

Contemporary treatises also display anxiety about the seductive power of stage music. In his *Apologia musices* (1588), John Case condemns the use of music in the theatre, and the otherwise encomiastic *Praise of Musicke* (anonymous, 1586) displays ‘suspicion of [the] unchasteness and incontinency’ theatrical music might cause in the listener/spectator. The authors of these treatises perceive the theatrical use of both instrumental and vocal music to be a misuse
and a cause for moral alarm. In the double context of sixteenth-century drama and music, it is important to remember that, as Leppert notes,

Music’s pleasures and relevance have never been denied. Indeed, music’s most virulent enemies have often condemned music, or some music, precisely for the pleasures it provides and the effects of its audition on the social fabric.\(^{57}\)

Ironically, as a theatrical genre the moral interlude seeks to circumscribe and control immoral social impulses by first representing these impulses in enchanting and entertaining ways. Music is essential to this representation.

**When is a text a song?**

In the extant texts of Tudor interlude drama the absence of scored music does not prove the absence of song in a dramatic situation where singing might be otherwise expected or indicated. The same may be said of Shakespeare’s plays. As Duffin points out, in *Twelfth Night* no stage direction appears before ‘I am Gone Sir’ in the First Folio to indicate ‘that this is a song rather than spoken verse, but [since] the text is separated like other songs in the First Folio and is assigned to Feste’ it is probably meant to be sung.\(^{58}\) Indeed, renowned and reliable Shakespearean editors supply a stage direction for Feste to sing at this point.\(^{59}\) What is more, not only is the text of ‘I am Gone Sir’ set apart from the foregoing dialogue but the prosody of this text is markedly different from that of the surrounding dialogue; the song shows a variation in meter and rhyme characteristic of songs for which stage directions are explicit throughout the First Folio.\(^{60}\) Further, ‘because of the opening’s parting aspect’ Duffin sets ‘I am Gone Sir’ to the tune of ‘O Sweet Oliver’, which is sung by Touchstone in *As You Like It* (F) 3.3.\(^{61}\) This ‘parting aspect’ that Duffin observes emphasizes Feste’s Vice heritage and renders the likelihood of his singing at this moment a virtual certainty.

As Weimann and Bruster observe, ‘bodily performance and literary language helped constitute the agencies of clowns and fools’.\(^{62}\) Another element that both constitutes and signifies the agency of the Vice-fool-clown is that figure’s musical production in the form of song. Singing embeds agency when, as Tia DeNora notes, ‘it is perceived as incorporating into itself and/or its performance some property of the extra-musical, so as to be perceived as doing the thing to which it points’. DeNora continues:
Thus, music is much more than a structural ‘reflection’ of the social. Music is constitu- tive of the social in so far as it may be seen to enter action and/or conception when ‘things’ take shape in relation to music … or when actors employ musical structures as models or analogies for elaborating conceptual awareness.\textsuperscript{63}

The property of the extra-musical is the Vice-actor’s performative identity. Feste’s exit song further provides a musical structure as a model for Shakespeare’s audience to elaborate its own conceptual awareness of the ‘old’ Vice’s role. The clown’s explicit auto-analogy establishes his referential function as the seductive yet atavistic ‘old Vice’ simultaneously with his double performant function as Olivia’s household minstrel and as the singer in the here-and-now of his own performance.

Wapull’s interlude \textit{The Tyde Taryeth no Man} presents a music-text-performance dilemma similar to that of ‘I am Gone Sir’.\textsuperscript{64} The text of \textit{Tyde Taryeth} assigns two songs to the Vice ‘Courage’, in which Courage leads a group of deputy Vices, namely, Feigned Furtherance, Painted Profit, and Hurtful Help. No musical score is provided in the play text. The texts which are marked as songs — ‘First Courage causes Minds of Men’ (B1v) and ‘We have great gain with little Pain’ (D4) — are set apart and rhymed differently from the play’s rhymed-couplet speeches. Including the refrain, ‘First Courage’ has the rhyme scheme of ababcc, and ‘We have Great Gain’ rhymes ababccdeed. Except for the rhyme-royal Prologue and Courage’s entrance the rest of the play is in rhymed couplets of uneven length, although mostly pentameter. Courage’s entrance is composed of uneven short lines, mostly of four to seven syllables, with the rhyme scheme of aabccb. The speech is also set in columns, unlike the rest of the play text. Although the stage directions do not specifically call for a song here, and no musical score is provided, the Vice Courage’s entrance speech is probably a song, as the clown Feste’s exit speech almost certainly is.

\textbf{John Heywood and Musical Improvisation in the Interlude}

Although the early morality plays represent abstracted vices as polar opposites to virtues, the first dramatic character named ‘Vyce’ appears as the mischievous Mery Reporte in John Heywood’s comic interlude \textit{The Play of the Wether}.\textsuperscript{65} John Heywood (1497–c 1577) may be best understood as the last in the long tradition of the court minstrel: a versatile musician, composer, player, and playwright, he composed and performed lute songs for Henry
VIII, Edward VI, and Mary I. Under Henry VIII, Heywood was the only person listed as ‘synger’ in the extant household payment records,\(^{66}\) where he appears regularly from 1519 to 1555; the record suggests that Heywood was pensioned in 1557.\(^ {67}\) Contemporary testimony also confirms that Heywood was a court virginalist.\(^ {68}\) Married to Thomas More’s niece, son-in-law to the printer-lawyer-playwright John Rastell, and grandfather of the poet John Donne, John Heywood had a long court career interrupted only by his brief imprisonment in 1543, probably for denying the Royal Supremacy which he seems to have accepted in the following year. An otherwise staunch Catholic, Heywood went into exile in 1564 to live with his son, a Jesuit priest in Antwerp. Heywood died around 1577.

At the court of Henry VIII, John Heywood seems to have had the status of something like an allowed fool. His Catholicism emerges only very obliquely in his plays; Bevington maintains that \textit{A Play of the Wether} is a plea for religious reconciliation in the midst of the gathering storm of Henry’s divorce crisis.\(^ {69}\) Although \textit{Wether} is certainly a comedy, the play presents two serious themes, namely, the reconciliation of opposing social interests and the growing problem of which suitors should be allowed access to King Henry.\(^ {70}\) Prior to Henry VII, the king of England had been considered a ‘first among equals’ and he circulated freely among his court, but, as Fiona Kisby points out,

the sovereign was now the sole centre of power and focal point of control. Consequently, access to him was jealously guarded. This development had an impact on the organization and architectural layout of the royal houses. Most now contained an inner sanctum of private rooms in which the king spent an increasing amount of time and to which access was controlled.\(^ {71}\)

In 1528, Heywood was appointed a ‘Sewer of the King’s Chamber’. In his new position, he would have acted as a sort of usher in the king’s Chamber; as such he would have witnessed the struggles for access to the king and the presentation of numerous and conflicting suits, both valid and absurd. Heywood’s ‘familiarity with Chamber procedures is evident in the text’ of \textit{Wether} as well.\(^ {72}\) While sewers had their access to the Privy Chamber strictly limited, the ‘evidence hints that this sometimes may have been a passport to musical activities there’.\(^ {73}\) So, in a typological reading of \textit{Wether}, Jupiter — to whom the various characters bring their suits for different types of weather favourable to their various activities — would be Henry VIII. Such a reading further suggests that the Vice ‘Mery Reporte’, who ushers the suitors about
and controls their access to Jupiter, would originally have represented, and been played by, Heywood himself.

Given John Heywood’s accomplishments in composing both poetry and music — accomplishments Stevens ranks among those of Heywood’s better known musical contemporaries Banastir, Cornysh, Barclay, Redford, and Rhodes — a reading of Heywood’s plays seems to reveal a rather astonishing lack of both instrumental music and song. If the extant play texts are to be believed, Heywood’s *Johan Johan* and *The Pardoner and the Friar* (both c 1529) are entirely without music. There are at least three plausible explanations for this: first, that the musical entertainments took place around rather than within the interlude’s performance; second, that the musical parts were written, rehearsed, and stored separately from the play texts and have been lost; or, third, that as composer, lyricist, playwright, musician, and performer Heywood improvised and thus had no need to write his own musical part into his play. As Strohm asserts,

we all know how written music can exist in the mind without ever appearing on paper — it can be composed, rehearsed, performed, taught and transmitted all by memory … The structure of music and what people do with it are thus two different things, and history is generally more interested in what people do; thus the question is not so much ‘written or unwritten music’ as ‘to write or not to write music’.  

In light of this perspective, and in the unique case of John Heywood, the third possibility is perhaps the most persuasive.

Stevens notes that in England, unlike in Italy, ‘there could hardly have been a tradition of courtier poets singing extempore … without its having left some traces’. As it happens, such traces were left by the only ‘synger’ of Henry VIII’s royal household, John Heywood. Henry VIII certainly appreciated music; his actual musical talents may be in dispute, but the records do show that he rewarded excellent musicians and dismissed mediocre ones. According to Heywood’s assistant Thomas Whythorne, who studied virginals, lute, and verse-making under Heywood at court for more than three years, Heywood

was not only very well skilled in music, and playing on the virginals but also such an English poet, as the like, for his wit and invention, with the quantity that he wrote, was not as then in England, nor before his time since Chaucer’s time.
And Whythorne was equally keen as a social observer. At the moment when minstrelsy was on the wane and a new conception of professional literate musicianship was on the rise, Whythorne was himself particularly anxious to be regarded as a musician, rather than counted among the ‘rascal[s] and off-scum of that profession, who be, or ought to be, called minstrels (though nowadays many do name themselves musicians)’.  

Already by the late 1540s Heywood’s peers regarded him as a musician, not a minstrel; indeed, by this time on the continent ‘composers … were no longer considered “mere” makers or craftsmen’. In *Scriptorium* 2 (1548), John Bale refers to Heywood as ‘Orpheus alter, instrumentorum studiosus, musica et poeta’; further, ‘a musical manuscript containing some of Heywood’s poems belonged to a musical circle centering on St. Paul’s’, and two lute-songs attributed to Heywood have been identified in the British Library. The lute-song ‘Why soo unkende’ in *Henry VIII’s MS* (RA 58 f.6) is likely Heywood’s composition as well. In fact, Stevens believes that *Henry VIII’s MS* as a whole constitutes ‘a professional musician’s commonplace-book’ and speculates that as such it would probably have been John Heywood’s. Two later ballad texts by Heywood also survive, one celebrating the marriage of Queen Mary to Philip of Spain (1554) and another, ‘Against Slander and Detraction’ (1562), which was printed just two years before Heywood’s exile to Antwerp. By all evidence and accounts, Heywood was a gifted and versatile musician and entertainer of the very sort likely to be capable of improvisation.

As David Klausner suggests, ‘we can divide the modes of improvisation in Renaissance theater into two: those planned by the playwright, and those unplanned. Planned improvisation was a staple of the period’ — and much of this improvisation was assigned to Vice figures. Although Klausner does not specifically consider the question of musical improvisation within dramatic performances, his approach is instructive. In cases where singing is called for in the stage directions but neither the tune nor the song-text is specified, rather than assuming the music has been lost, we should consider the possibility that the playwright might instead have planned for the performer to improvise the cued song. Such a dilemma occurs in *The Play of the Wether*, where the text does indicate that the Vice, Mery Reporte, has two songs — one sung with others and one solo. The absence of both music and text for one song, and the absence of music for the other, affirms the likelihood that Heywood himself played Mery Reporte — and improvised Mery Reporte’s songs.
Before his first song, the Vice engages in bawdy dialogue with the idle Gentylwoman who desires to petition Jupiter for cloudy weather so that her complexion will not be damaged by the sun. Far from ushering her in, Mery Reporte attempts to seduce the woman and in fact hinders her suit, for which she wishes to speak with Jupiter alone. Weimann and Bruster emphasize the Vice figure’s ‘contrariety’, a feature embodied consistently by Mery Reporte. In the case of the Vice generally and Mery Reporte specifically, ‘wherever relations of opposition presently exist, relations of seduction are put into play’.

Mery Reporte: How spende ye the nyght?
Gentylwoman: In daunsynge and syngynge
   Tyll mydnyght and then fall to slepynge.
Mery Reporte: Why, swete herte, by your false fayth, can ye sing?
Gentylwoman: Nay, nay, but I love yt above all thynge.
Mery Reporte: Now by my trouth for the love that I owe you,
   You shall here what pleasure I can shew you.
   One songe have I for you, suche as yt is,
   And yt were better ye shold have yt, by gys!
Gentylwoman: Mary syr, I thanke you even hartely.
Mery Reporte: Come on, syrs, but now let us synge lustly.
   [sd] Here they synge.
Gentylwoman: Syr, this is well done, I hertely thanke you.
   Ye have done me pleasure.

Mery Reporte’s mischief is achieved through enchanting music that does the woman ‘pleasure’. As Wisdom’s Wyll well knew, ‘the infinite powers of music may also allure and ravish the senses’, and no one and ‘nothing in the entire cosmos is immune to the charms of music’.

I have provided the stage direction and the entire exchange between Mery Reporte and the Gentylwoman in order to demonstrate the confusion this passage in Wether has caused. Commentators claim that the unspecified song is a duet between Mery Reporte and the Gentylwoman. This may be the preference in performance, but this assertion is not supported by the surrounding dialogue. The Gentylwoman in fact states that she cannot sing, although she loves singing — most likely that of others. The Vice then invites the ‘syrs’ to sing with him. Whether these ‘syrs’ are other players or members of the audience is unclear, but it is clear that the Gentylwoman does not
sing here; rather, the Vice and his cohorts do her ‘pleasure’, possibly with a song well-enough known that Heywood could improvise and expect that other players, singers, and even the ‘syrs’ among the audience would already know the tune.

In Wether’s other Vice-song, Mery Reporte sings a solo. Late in the play, he goes out to fetch all the suitors while Jupiter makes a brief speech about the non-decision he is about to render. Mery Reporte returns with the suitors in tow, singing a solo in which he brags about his own persuasive skills and alludes devilishly to having ‘bought’ their souls, ‘suche as they be’:

Mery Reporte and all the sewters entreteth
If I haddë caught them,
Or ever I raught them,
I wolde have taught them
To be nere me.
Full dere have I bought them,
Lorde, so I sought them,
Yet have I brought them
Suche as they be. (sd and 1139–46)

Here again no tune is specified and a planned improvisation probably occurs. And once again singing is not specified either, but the rhyme scheme and metrics of these two quatrains are so different from the rhymed tetrameter couplets that prevail in the rest of this play that song is strongly indicated. At this critical moment in the drama, the Vice’s song would have been syllabically set, possibly to a lively dance tune, in order to emphasize the Vice’s flamboyant movements during his entrance. It is also noteworthy that the text is made up of short, crisp, non-Latinate words. The meter suggests that only one of the words — ‘haddë’ — was two-syllable; ‘ever’ would have been pronounced monosyllabically at the time. The rime-riche end-rhymes on ‘-aught/-ought them’ would also make the song-text easy for the performer to remember.92

As the composer, playwright, and performer of the Vice, Heywood had control over both musical and theatrical improvisation, which might otherwise have gotten out of hand. As Klausner notes, the anxieties about improvisation that Hamlet expresses in his extended speech in the ‘Mousetrap’ scene in the First Quarto suggests that the clowns’ improvisation was a recurrent problem that even risked disrupting performances:93
let not your Clowne speake
More then is set downe, there be of them I can tell you
That will laugh themselves, to set on some
Quantitie of barren spectators to laugh with them,
Albeit there is some necessary point in the Play
Then to be obserued: O t’is vile, and shewes
A pittifull ambition in the foole that vseth it. (3.2)

Anxiety about controlling the improvising clown or fool thus extends to concern that a player’s initiative, individuality, and ‘ambition’ might not only wreck the play but could also untune the social harmony of the theatrical space. Ambition, although soon to be considered a capitalist virtue, is still at this historical moment a vice.

Given the sensitive political situation in which Heywood operated, even allowed fools had to be very careful of what they said and did; under these circumstances, assigning improvisation — planned or unplanned — to other players might not have been at all wise. At one point in Wether, even Heywood/Mery Reporte himself comes right up to the edge of acceptable discourse. In the Vice’s exchange with the Water Myller, Mery Reporte delivers a short speech loaded with obscene comparisons between milling and sexual intercourse, and about his own sexually unsatisfied spouse. In the 1529–30 court-performance context,

My wyfe sayth she will have a new myller (753)

may allude comically to the royal marital crisis. But Mery Reporte is in control and recovers quickly; in a swift *occupatio* he moves on with the play:

But let yt passe — and now to our mater. (754)

This passed-by ‘yt’ may hint at a planned improvisation judiciously abandoned.

**Signs of Vice in King Johan**

Playwright and Protestant polemicist John Bale (1495–1563) was a Carmelite friar and contemporary of John Heywood, but, unlike Heywood, Bale left the Catholic church and converted to an extreme form of Protestantism
in 1533. Bale was vocal in his radical religious views, which earned him initial favour and then exile in 1540 under Henry VIII, who also saw to it that several proclamations against the presentation of potentially transgressive ‘enterludes’, ‘common plays’, and ‘stage plays’ were issued in 1545 and 1547. Under Edward VI and his radical Protestant advisors, Bale returned to England and was installed as Bishop of Ossory in Ireland. Under Mary I, Bale was arrested, jailed, and again exiled; he returned again to England with Elizabeth I’s accession.

Bale’s plays and other writings contain apocalyptic themes, including the pope as the Anti-Christ, and a great deal of anti-Catholic and anti-celibate invective. While the Catholic Heywood’s humorous Play of the Wether was performed early in the dark days of Henry VIII’s divorce crisis, Bale’s King Johan was a Protestant-polemical history play written and performed against the backdrop of the results of that crisis, namely, the deepening political and religious controversies of the late 1530s. Greg Walker points out that King Johan is the ‘only extant play-text of the reign for which a precise date of [first] performance can be determined’: the A-text of King Johan is datable on ‘internal evidence’ to 1538, with a first performance of the play occurring before Thomas Cromwell during the Christmastide of 1538–39. Bale’s two subsequent expansions of King Johan are also datable, albeit not as precisely: the B-text revision occurred between 1558 and 1560, and the b-text emendations sometime between Elizabeth’s accession in 1558 and Bale’s death in 1563. Thus, by the time of the first performance of King Johan, Henry had effected the split with Rome, divorced Katherine, and married Anne Boleyn.

In Bale’s day, as in Shakespeare’s and indeed our own, King John was widely considered a ‘bad king’ — the infanticide who lost England’s foothold in France. Bale’s play, however, is revisionist: the playwright effects a rehabilitation of ‘bad’ King John as a model of both the English crown’s resistance to Rome’s meddling and the crown’s supremacy over the Church; this interpretation influences Shakespeare’s representation of King John as well. Bale achieves King John’s rehabilitation more by the portrayal of Catholic clerical figures as corrupt traitors than by the depiction of King John as particularly competent or clever. Bale’s chief Vice-figure in King Johan is thus ‘Sedicyon’, whom Bale explicitly identifies as King John’s Archbishop of Canterbury, Stephen Langton. Together, the Catholic conspirators constitute a threat to the new orthodoxy.

Unlike Heywood’s mischievously seductive Vice Mery Reporte, Bale’s Sedicyon/Langton is a maliciously seductive Vice who enacts his collusion
with the play’s minor Vices and draws the putatively virtuous King John into his web by means of song. As a playwright, Bale, who ‘was particularly adept at exploiting music as an index of moral stature’, deployed song as a sign of vice/Vice. In *King Johan* the songs of both the major and minor Vice figures emphasize the conspiratorial nature of the activities of recusant Catholics both inside and outside the drama. As Happé observes, in the interlude genre ‘singing is by no means confined to Vice solos. There are many instances where a group of sinful characters express their wickedness in company with the Vice’. In fact, in *King Johan* only two of the song texts indicate Vice solos. The first of these is sung late in the play by the deputy Vice ‘Dyssymulacyon’. As is typical for Vice-song, even in the midst of tragedy, Dyssymulacyon’s song is frivolous and jolly. From offstage, or perhaps from behind the screen in a great hall performance, Dyssymulacyon sings a well-known carol: ‘Wassayle, wassayle, out of the mylke payle’ (2087–91). Dyssymulacyon now enters as a seductive figure seeking to lead King John astray, but the virtuous king will only drink half the ‘pocyon’ the deputy-Vice presses on him.

The second of the two Vice solos in Bale’s *King Johan* offers up a rare fragment of extant musical notation embedded in an interlude text. This time, the stage direction says ‘Sedicyon entrat’ and the chief Vice, Sedicyon/Langton, then enters singing ‘Pepe, I see ye! I am glad I haue spyed ye’ (2456–57). This measure of music is syllabically set and brings to mind the setting of the jingle tune assigned to the Vice Nicol Newfangle in Ulpian Fulwell’s contemporary interlude *Like Will to Like*.

Whether ‘Pepe, I see ye’ is a fragment of a longer song or represents a brief musical setting to accompany the Vice’s entrance is not known, as only one line of song-text is provided and only one measure of music survives. Furthermore, the music and song-text appear only in the b-Text emendations to the B-Text of *King Johan*, which is Bale’s Elizabethan-era manuscript revision of his earlier Henrician play. In early performances of *King Johan*, a song may have been entirely improvised at this point. By the time of the b-text emendations, however, Bale may have wished to discourage improvisatory excesses by adding this musical prompt for use in productions by ambitious interluders who might be beyond his authorial control.

In addition to his brief solo, Sedicyon/Langton also engages in duets and quartets, which are this Vice’s more common song vehicles and serve to emphasize the conspiratorial nature of the Vices’ seduction project in this play. What is more, both of Sedicyon’s duets involve invective parodies of Latin-texted chant. Bale has Sedicyon and Dyssymulacyon emphasize their
loyalty to Rome by chanting in unison the incipit of the litany of saints and the ‘Ora pro nobis’, then the incipit of the ‘Pater noster’ (636–50) — all in Latin. Later, at lines 1789–1800, the second duet occurs, this time between Sedicyon/Langton and King John who together chant Protestant parodies of Latin mass texts which were still in use in Henry VIII’s time. For instance, their ‘Confiteor domino pape et omnibus cardinalibus’ — ‘I confess unto [my] lord the Pope and all the cardinals’ (1789–1800) — is a parody of the ‘Confiteor’, the Catholic liturgical prayer of Confession in the old Latin rites: ‘Confiteor deo omnipotenti et omnibus sanctis’ — ‘I confess unto almighty God and all the saints’. The desired effect of these associations and duets is, of course, to ridicule and discredit the Catholic faction. This singing also resonates with the audience’s understanding of the nature and purpose of both profane secular music and profaned religious music. Either the Catholic Vices do not understand what they are singing, or they are proclaiming their true allegiance — namely, to the Church hierarchy rather than to God — by means of their corrupt Latin singing.

The Catholic Vices in King Johan are determined ‘to subordinate the monarch and bring his ... sovereignty under their sway’. Toward this goal, the head-Vice Sedicyon/Langton leads the minor Vices — Dyssymulacyon/Simon of Swynsett, Usurped Power/Pope Innocent III, and Private Wealth/Cardinal Pandolphus — in two quartets of popular song. These quartets, at ll. 828 ff. and 1055 ff., are of unspecified ‘merry’ songs that the stage directions indicate are led by Sedicyon/Langton and sung by Sedicyon, Dyssymulacyon, Usurped Power, and Private Wealth together. At l. 828, the stage direction says ‘Here syng’ and Usurped Power tells Sedicyon to launch the song:

Begyne thy self, than, and we shall lepe in amonge. (828)

After the song, Sedicyon replies

I wolde euer dwell here to haue such mery sporte. (829)

Thus, neither the music nor the song text are provided here, nor are they for the ‘merry song’ indicated in the stage directions at l. 1055 ff. In both of these instances, either the music was written and distributed separately from the play text or the singers were expected to collaborate in advance or to improvise for the performance. The overarching connection among all the Vice’s songs in this play, however, is their catalytic role in the enactment of
clerical depravity and of the attempts to seduce ‘good’ King John by separating him from his purported truth.

Over the twenty-six years between Bale’s first version (1538) and final revision (1562–3) of King Johan, the anti-Catholic Protestant position that had alarmed Henry VIII had evolved into a new religious orthodoxy. In Bale’s drama, the new religion’s conception of devils and evil in the world emerges as something more menacing than mischievous. The Catholic Vices swirling around King Johan constitute a conspiracy of seductive signs; and as Baudrillard has noted,

seduction continues to appear to all orthodoxies as malefice and artifice, a black magic for the deviation of all truths, an exaltation of the malicious use of signs, a conspiracy of signs.\textsuperscript{103}

\textbf{Pseudo-Classical Musical Revenge Tragedy: Horestes}\textsuperscript{104}

Unlike Heywood and Bale, little is known of John Pickering, who may actually have been Sir John Puckering (1544–96) of Lincoln’s Inn, Speaker of the House of Commons, and Lord Keeper of the Seal.\textsuperscript{105} The full title his 1567 pseudo-classical musical revenge tragedy, Horestes, is a mouthful: \textit{A newe Enterlude of Vice conteyning the History of Horestes with the cruell revengement of his Fathers death upon his one naturall Mother}. The Vice is here announced as the main character, even though Horestes is the revenging hero of this interlude.

By 1567, nearly forty years had passed since the improvised musical performances embedded in Heywood’s \textit{Play of the Wether}; with Horestes the interlude has evolved into something the twenty-first century observer might classify as musical theatre. The play’s pretensions to classicism lend prestige; they also veil contemporary political allusion. This classicizing is undermined, perhaps even parodied, by the play’s exuberant employment of popular song, through which Horestes mediates between the improvisational musical methods of Heywood and the more fully scripted songs and ballads of Shakespeare’s stage. Horestes is just 1205 lines in length and contains four songs as well as cues for instrumental music, namely, drums and trumpet fanfares. Another strange feature of this interlude is that the Vice tends to speak in rhyme-royal stanzas — a high style normally reserved in earlier interludes for prologues or for important personages, like royals or royal sorts. The Vice ‘drags’ rhyme
royal ‘in the mud’, while the revenging hero Horestes speaks chiefly in the clumsy rhymed heptameter line known as the fourteener.

In *Horestes*, the Vice’s role is to seduce the hero into the ‘just’ vengeance of his father Agamemnon’s murder. Midway through the play, the character of the Vice reveals himself as Revenge, who is not only a dramatic character but also an abstract theological problem that Pickering’s chief source, Lydgate’s *Troy Book*, had settled in Orestes’ favour. All four of the songs in *Horestes* are associated with villains, including the Vice Revenge; no scored music is provided for the song texts. In the first half of the play, the soldier Haultersyke enters singing ‘Farre well, Adew’ on his way to the gallows (306–29). His stage direction states ‘entrithe and syngeth this song to the tune of “Have over the water to Floride” or “Sellingers Round”’ — the latter a well-known dance tune also arranged by Byrd. Clytemnestra and Egistus sing the duet, ‘Lady, Lady’ as well (538–601); stage directions call for them to ‘enter … singinge this song to the tune of ‘King Salomon’” (sd 537). Each of these references calls for a tune well known to the players and to the audience.

In his discussion of the ambiguity posed by missing musical notation and the presence of song tags and cues, Bruce Smith maintains that music in early modern England had a complicated relationship to graphic transcription. Despite treatises like Thomas Morley’s *A Plain and Easy Introduction to Practical Music* (1597), the art of music was more typically transmitted person to person, singer to singer, lutenist to lutenist. Hence the sufficiency of the tag that accompanies most printed ballads ‘to be sung to the tune of …’ … For a literate historian, such a phrase all too often points toward silence. For musicians in early modern England, it pointed toward the known and the familiar, toward traces of sound that were already in the reader’s brain, lungs, larynx, and mouth.

In the second half of *Horestes*, the Vice sings both of his assigned songs, for neither of which has the music survived, but the meters provide ‘traces’ of rhythm and sound that suggest certain tune and tune types. The first of Vice’s songs, ‘Stand Back’, is a four-stanza song with the rhyme scheme of *ababccdd* and a syllabic pattern of 8-4-8-4-8-8-8-8, which is not uncommon among ballads and popular songs of the time. This song is critical to the action of the play and to the hero Horestes’ motivations for vengeance as well. In the third verse of the song the Vice, who has already seduced Horestes, reveals himself to be none other than ‘Revenge’ personified:
But in this stower who beares the fame
But onely I?
Revenge, Revenge wyll have the name,
    Or he wyll dye.
I spare no wight, I feare none yll,
But with this blade I wyll them kyll,
For when myne eare is set on fyare
I rap them, I snap them — that is my desyare. (664–71)

The Vice is clear: he has seduced Horestes to satisfy his own perverse desire to see revenge realized.

Pickering indicates that the Vice is to sing this song ‘to the tune of The Paynter’, by which the playwright probably meant the ballad ‘The Paynter in his Pryntyshod’, the text for which was printed in 1565–6. The ballad tune does not survive, but the title is suggestive: the Vice/Revenge sings about murder to a tune that allusively highlights his own craft while it celebrates the corrupt apprenticeship into which he has initiated Horestes. Like Falstaff’s threat to supply new texts to old ‘filthy tunes’, the Vice here chooses a tune whose prior meaning will resonate while it accumulates new significance with its new words. For Axton’s edition of *Horestes*, John Buttrey set ‘Stand Back’ to a lively branle that he adapted from *Dublin Virginal MS* (no. 28). Simpson suggests the tune of the ballad ‘Cock Lorrel’ would also be appropriate as it also fits the metrical pattern of ‘Stand Back’ neatly.

Revenge’s other song, which is the final song in *Horestes*, is the three-stanza tune ‘A Newe Master, a Newe!’ in which Revenge celebrates the arrival of Menelaus with Helen. The Vice, who had urged Horestes on to vengeance, now sings a maliciously gleeful lyric, likely set in a boisterous syllabic style, indicating his joy that the object of his seduction, Horestes, will be the victim of further revenge when Menelaus appears and seeks to avenge his dead brother, Agamemnon. As Axton observes, Caliban’s ‘Farewell, Master, farewell, farewell!’ in *The Tempest* (2.2.174) provides a later echo of *Horestes’* Vice’s master-greeting song.

A newe master, a newe!
    No lenger I maye
    A byde; by this daye
Horestes now doth rew.
A newe master, a newe!
And yt was not yll
His mother to kyll?
I pray you, how saye you?

A newe master, a newe!
Now its to late
To shut the gate;
Horestes gines to rew! \(^{113}\)  (849–60)

The Vice Revenge’s delight is thwarted, however, when Horestes is not killed. The hero is instead redeemed and crowned by Dewty and Truth (1145–46).

**Exit the Vice**

Although Revenge seduces Horestes and the manipulated hero enacts the vengeance promoted by the Vice, virtue triumphs with Horestes’ vindication and coronation. The defeated Vice exits the drama with a sullen rhyme-royal defeat speech — or song — that is both concessionary and cautionary:

Well, far you well, for I must be packinge.
Remembar my wordes, and beare it in mynde:
What, suffer the myll a whyle to be clackinge,
Yf that you intend aney ease forto fynde;
Then wyll they be to you both lovinge and kinde.
Farwell Cosen Cutpurssse, and be ruled by me,
Or elles you may chaunce to end on a tre. \(^{114}\)  (1114–20)

The Vice intends to go far in attempting to obliterate the pleasure the audience has taken in the foregoing musical seduction. But at such epilogic moments the audience ‘is not being asked to forget what they have just witnessed, but rather to remember it’, which they will with lingering pleasure.\(^{114}\)

Among the last of the singing Vices, Iago uses the tavern song ‘And Let me the Cannikin Clink’ to advance his elaborate revenge project by seducing and ruining the sober Cassio with drink (2.3.64–93).\(^{115}\) As David Lindley asserts, ‘popular music is Iago’s persuasive means to entice Cassio with the illusion of conviviality and belonging to the crowd’. The audience, too, is led astray by
the pleasure of the song: ‘Iago seduces us, briefly at least, into complicity with his scheming through the agency of song’. As a singing seducer, Iago knows how to let the signs hang. He knows that they are favourable only when left suspended and will move of themselves toward their appointed destiny. He does not use the signs up all at once, but waits for the moment when they will all respond, one after the other, creating an entirely unique conjuncture of giddiness and collapse.

And typically for the ‘old Vice’ the signs Iago has created collapse upon him, as well. In this very way, throughout the interlude genre the Vice ritualizes the signs within his own seductive ‘game without end in which the signs participate spontaneously, as if from a continuous sense of irony’.

The Vice’s resonant transgressivity is essential to understanding the achievements of the personated Vice as a singer and player — and as a character — and the audience’s engagement with the Vice’s seductive performance, through which he originally and ultimately represented the early English audience’s own ‘literal and archetypal enemy … the Devil’. All of these signs combine with the Quintilianist understanding of the seductive power of theatrical music to create, contain, and sustain singing as a sign of vice.

Notes
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